

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,935	07/25/2003	Josef Woller	41133US	3690
29450	590 12/30/2004 .		EXAM	INER
BARLEY SNYDER, LLC 1000 WESTLAKES DRIVE, SUITE 275			ISHAL A	
BERWYN, PA	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/627,935	WOLLER ET AL.				
navicely nearly	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishal Patel	3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application application of the contraction of	ation. A proper reply	to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriate in the final (a)	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTF below)				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or			. , .			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	S.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	□ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14 and 15.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. ☐ Other:	HEAT	HER SHACKELFORD SORY PATENT EXAMINATION OF CENTER 360	NER O			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: claim 1, added limitation, "precisely fitted", requires further consideration and/or search. Furthermore even if this was added to the claim, the claims do not overcome the rejection. Claim 13, limitations "a line duct...receiving a line", "tubular body" and "in as precisely fitted manner", requires further consideration and/or search..